July 27, 2017

The Honorable Donald J. Trump President of the United States The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20500.

Dear Mr. President:

We are flag-rank officers who wrote to you earlier regarding our concerns with the Obama administration's military transgender policy that began July 1, 2016.<sup>1</sup>

We write today to express our gratitude to you for making the extremely courageous decision to reverse President Obama's transgender social experiment. There may be an enormous amount of vitriol directed at you for making this policy correction, but please know that overturning this policy may have done more in the long-term to save the culture and war-fighting capacity of the U.S. military than perhaps any other military policy you will adopt as president.

The possible fiscal outlays of adopting a transgender military policy would have been very high. The Family Research Council has estimated that it could be between \$1.9 and \$3.7 billion over ten years.<sup>2</sup> In addition, allowing transgender individuals to enlist would attract those persons who hope to have taxpayers pay for their "transitioning," including hormone replacement therapies and elective surgeries.

Even if it can be scientifically demonstrated that gender reassignment procedures medically benefit some individuals, there will still be concerns about the deployability of these individuals. DoD guidelines require that those serving in the military be "medically adaptable to the military environment without the necessity of geographic area limitations."<sup>3</sup> However, both hormone therapy and gender reassignment surgery result in the need for *specialized* medical care which will not be available in all geographic locations.

Aside from the time lost due to the non-deployability of the person transitioning, one must also consider the time taken away from commanding officers for transgender case management. The detailed assessment and management of these complex cases by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> That letter is enclosed. On the adoption of the Obama policy, *see* Peter Sprigg, "Should Individuals Who Identify as Transgender Be to Serve in Military?" Family Research Council (Washington, D.C.: Sept. 2016) (IF16B04).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Peter Sprigg, "Transgender Policy Could Cost Military Billions over Ten Years," Family Research Council (Washington, D.C.: July 2017) (IF17F01): 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cited in: Assessment of DoD-Provided Healthcare for Members of the United States Armed Forces Reserve Components, Inspector General, U. S. Department of Defense, p. 17; online at: <u>http://www.dodig.mil/pubs/documents/DODIG-2015-002.pdf</u> (see DoDI 6130.03, "Medical Standards for Appointment, Enlistment, or Induction in the Military Services" April 28, 2010).

commanders would have been substantial. Needless to say, that is time not being spent training or thinking about how to engage and defeat our enemies in combat. The shifting of CO time would have been a real detriment to military effectiveness.

One letter to DoD arguing against the Obama policy contained this assessment from "a known but confidential source in the naval service" who pleaded that her Pentagon commanders be informed of the damage being caused by the Obama plan:

As part of the policy, CO's must facilitate the requests of individuals for transgender treatment (surgery, cross-hormonal therapy, etc.).... Then once the service member is considered "stable" in their preferred gender, and their "gender marker" is changed in a DoD database, they must be accommodated in the berthing and bathroom facilities of their preferred gender regardless of whether they still possess their opposite sex anatomy! (And most are expected to do so).

This commanding officer then raised privacy as a real problem: "Given the close living quarters that most military members have to share, this is particularly distressing to many of us, especially women." One would think the concern of this CO and others like her would not be brushed aside so lightly in an environment said to be rampant with sexual harassment and assault.

In closing Mr. President, we note that your bold decision is supported by the American people who have a great reverence for the military. A Rasmussen survey released in June found that "just 23% of likely U.S. voters think the U.S. military's decision to allow openly transgender people to serve is good for the military." We believe you will be rewarded for this brave decision by the American people.

Once again, thank you for your focus on preserving the military effectiveness, morale, and social cohesion of the United States Armed Forces.

Signed:

Benjamin R. Mixon LTG (R) US Army

Thomas G. McInerney LTG (R) US Air Force Former AVice USAF William G. Boykin LTG (R) US Army

James Lyons Admiral (R) US Navy Wm. Dean Lee Vice Admiral (R) US Coast Guard

Gary L Harrell MG (R) US Army

Mike Jones MG (R) US Army

Paul E. Vallely MG (R) US Army Chairman, Stand Up America Foundation

Jim WalkerJoBG (R)MUS Marine CorpsUExecutive Director, Operation Heal Our PatriotsSamaritans Purse

Howard P. Hunt III BG (R) US Air Force/KYANG

Lloyd J Goodnow US Marine Corps (R) Brian I. Geehan MG (R) US Army

Vernon B. Lewis, Jr. MG (R) US Army

Joe S. Owens MG (R) US Army

Robert F. Dees MG (R) US Army

John Miller MG (R) US Air Force

Jimmy L. Cash BG (R) US Air Force